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We investigate the expected and the maximum values of the rank distance on the permutations group.
We compute these values and show when the maximum value is reached.

1 INTRODUCTION

When a new metric is introduced, often there is a "hidden variable" in the similarity relation (frequently depends on the specific area of research), so that we should always speak of similarity with respect to some property, and there is a plethora of measures in part because researchers are often inexplicit on this point.

On the other hand, one should have some knowledge about the nature of the problem to be solved. A result that is mathematically sound may be highly implausible and might not reflect what is known about the analyzed process.

In information sciences, in computational linguistics or in some automatically categorization problems (especially based on combining rankings) we have to take into account the natural tendency of the objects to place the most important information in the first part of the message. So, if the differences between two objects are at the top (i.e., in essential points), the distance has to have a bigger value then when the differences are at the bottom of the objects. A similar situation can be found in genomics, where the difference on the first positions between two codons is more important than the difference on the last positions (Marcus, 1974).

Following the upper motivations, we have introduced the rank distance [Dinu, 2003] as a similarity measure on rankings with linguistics and biological motivations. In some related papers we have investigated the behavior of this metrics in topics like aggregation of classifications, categorization [Dinu 2003], computational linguistics (especially the similarity of Romance languages, [Dinu and Dinu 2005]), the DNA sequence comparison [Dinu and Sgarro 2006], the similarity of trees structures [Dinu 2005]. From a computational point of view, rank distance has a good behavior w.r.t. the so-called "median string problem", i.e. the median string can be computed in a polynomial time by using rank distance [Dinu and Manea, 2006] (we remind that the same problem is NP-hard via other metrics, like Levenshtein, Kendall, etc.)

In many cases (computational linguistics, statistics, coding, classification task, etc.), it is enough to use metrics that work on string without repetitions (i.e. rankings or permutations).

In this paper we investigate some mathematical properties of the rank distance related on its expected and maximum values on the permutations group. We compute these values and show when they are reached.

2 PRELIMINARIES

Let $U = \{a, b, \ldots, z\}$ be a nonempty finite set, called the universe of objects. A full ranking over $U$ is a one-to-one map $f: \{1, 2, \ldots, |U|\} \rightarrow U$, which associate a rank to each object from $U$. 
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A partial ranking of length \( i \) is an injective map \( f : \{1,2,\ldots,i\} \to U, i \leq |U| \), which associate a rank to each object from a subset of \( U \). We denote by \(|f|\) the length of a partial ranking \( f \). We denote by \( V(f) \) the set \( \{f(1), f(2), \ldots, f(i)\} \).

Given a (partial) ranking \( f \), we associate an order \( \text{ord}(x | f) \) to each object \( x \) from this ranking as it follows:

\[
\text{ord}(x | f) = |f | + 1 - f^{-1}(x)
\] (1)

Follows the upper motivations, we define the rank distance between two rankings as it follows:

**Definition 1** Let \( U \) be an alphabet and let \( f, g \) two partial rankings over \( U \). The rank distance between \( f \) and \( g \) is defined by:

\[
\Delta(f, g) = \sum_{x \in V(f) \cap V(g)} \text{ord}(x | f) - \text{ord}(x | g) + \sum_{x \in V(f) \cap V(g)} \text{ord}(x | f) + \sum_{x \in V(g) \cap V(f)} \text{ord}(x | g)
\] (2)

**Theorem 1** (Dinu, 2003) \( \Delta \) is a metric.

One may be concerned in the motivation for the usage of objects’ order in a ranking, instead of the rank itself. This motivation comes from two directions. First, we consider that the distance between two rankings should be greater if they differ at their top (on the high ranked objects), since in many applications the low ranked objects are neglected; consequently the objects with high ranks should have a greater weight. Second, the length of the rankings is also important: if a ranking is longer we consider that the criterion that produced it performed a more profound analyze of the objects, hence, it is more reliable than another criterion that produced a shorter ranking. Consequently, although, for example, two rankings of different length may have the same object on the first position, there is a difference between that object's orders, and, this difference should be reflected in the total distance.

From equation (1) and Definition 1 we obtain an alternative formula for rank distance:

\[
\Delta(f, g) = \sum_{x \in V(f) \cap V(g)} |f | + 1 - f^{-1}(x) - |g | + g^{-1}(x) + \sum_{x \in V(f) \cap V(g)} |f | + 1 - f^{-1}(x) + \sum_{x \in V(g) \cap V(f)} |g | + 1 - g^{-1}(x)
\] (3)

**Remark 1** If \(|f| = |g| \) and \( V(f) = V(g) \) (i.e. \( f \) and \( g \) are rankings of the same subset of objects), then

\[
\Delta(f, g) = \sum_{x \in V(f)} |f^{-1}(x) - g^{-1}(x) |
\] (4)

### 3 RANK DISTANCE ON PERMUTATIONS

Without loss of generality, we can assume that \( U = \{1,2,\ldots,n\} \). Let \( f \) and \( g \) be two full rankings over \( U \).

Since \( f \) and \( g \) are, in this case, permutations of degree \( n \), relation (4) gives us enough reasons to investigate the rank distance as a measure of similarity on permutations.

#### 3.1 Max rank distance

In this subsection we investigate the following problems: given a permutation \( \sigma \) from \( S_n \), which are the permutations \( \tau \) from \( S_n \) such that the rank distance between \( \sigma \) and \( \tau \) is maximum? Which is this maximum?

Let \( n \) be a positive number and let \( \sigma \in S_n \) be a permutation (we denote by \( S_n \) the group of permutations with \( n \) elements). We say that an integer from \( \sigma \) is low if its position is \( \leq n/2 \) and it is high if its position is \( > n/2 \).

Let \( \Theta_\sigma \) be as following:

\[
\Theta_\sigma = \{\tau \in S_n : \forall x \in \{1,\ldots,n\}, x \text{ is low in } \tau \text{ iff } x \text{ is high in } \sigma \text{ and viceversa}\}
\] (5)
Proposition 1 For each $\sigma \in S_n$ and every two permutations $\tau, \pi$ in $\Theta_\sigma$ we have:
$$\Delta(\sigma, \tau) = \Delta(\sigma, \pi).$$

Proof: We have:
$$\Delta(\sigma, \tau) = \sum_{x \text{ is high in } \sigma} |\text{ord}(x|\sigma) - \text{ord}(x|\tau)| + \sum_{x \text{ is low in } \sigma} |\text{ord}(x|\sigma) - \text{ord}(x|\tau)| =$$
$$= \sum_{x \text{ is high in } \sigma} (\text{ord}(x|\sigma) - \text{ord}(x|\tau)) + \sum_{x \text{ is low in } \sigma} (\text{ord}(x|\tau) - \text{ord}(x|\sigma)) =$$
$$= \sum_{i=\frac{n}{2}}^{n} i - \sum_{x \text{ is low in } \tau} \text{ord}(x|\tau) + \sum_{x \text{ is high in } \tau} \text{ord}(x|\tau) - \sum_{i=1}^{\frac{n}{2}} i =$$
$$= \sum_{i=\frac{n}{2}}^{n} i - \sum_{x \text{ is low in } \pi} \text{ord}(x|\pi) + \sum_{x \text{ is high in } \pi} \text{ord}(x|\pi) - \sum_{i=1}^{\frac{n}{2}} i = \Delta(\sigma, \pi) \quad (6)$$

Lemma 1 (Dinu, 2003b) If $a$ and $b$ are two real numbers, $a > b$, then the function $f : R \rightarrow R$, $f(x) = |x - b| - |x - a|$ is not decreasing.

Proposition 2 Let $\sigma \in S_n$ be a permutation. The maximum rank- distance is reached by the permutation $\tau$ where $\text{ord}(x|\tau) = n + 1 - \text{ord}(x|\sigma)$, $\forall x \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$. Under these conditions, the maximum rank distance is:
$$\max_{\tau \in S_n} \Delta(\sigma, \tau) = \frac{n^2}{2} \text{ if } n \text{ is even, respectively } \frac{n^2 - 1}{2} \text{ if } n \text{ is odd.}$$

Proof: Assume without loss of generality that $\sigma = e_n$ (identical permutation of $S_n$).
We suppose that there is an $i \in V(\tau)$ such that:
1. $\text{ord}(i|\sigma) = n + 1 - i$
2. $\text{ord}(i|\tau) \neq i$.
Under these circumstances, there is $j \in V(\tau)$ such that $\text{ord}(j|\tau) = i$ and $\text{ord}(i|\tau) = x$.
We have three cases:
- Case 1. $x = j$.
- Case 2. $i < x$.
- Case 3. $i > x$.

Case 1. $x = j$: If we interchange the elements $i$ and $j$ in $\tau$, we obtain a new permutation $\tau'$ such that $\Delta(e_n, \tau') \geq \Delta(e_n, \tau)$.

$$e_n = (1 \quad 2 \quad ... \quad i \quad ... \quad j \quad ... \quad n)$$
$$\tau = (\tau(1) \quad \tau(2) \quad ... \quad \tau(n+1-j) = i \quad ... \quad \tau(n+1-i) = j \quad ... \quad \tau(n))$$
$$\tau' = (\tau(1) \quad \tau(2) \quad ... \quad \tau(n+1-j) = j \quad ... \quad \tau(n+1-i) = i \quad ... \quad \tau(n))$$
It is enough to prove that:
$$| (n+1-i) - j | + | (n+1-j) - i | \geq | (n+1-i) - j | + | (n+1-j) - j |$$
$$| (n+1-i) - j | + | (n+1-j) - j | \geq 2(n+1) - 2(i+j)$$
(7) is proved.

Case 2. $i < x$. We suppose that $i < x$. If we interchange the elements $i$ and $j$ in $\tau$, we obtain a new permutation $\tau'$ such that $\Delta(e_n, \tau') \geq \Delta(e_n, \tau)$.
It is enough to prove that:
\[(n+1-i)-x\] \[+(n+1-j)-i\] \[\leq\] \[(n+1-i)-i\] \[+(n+1-j)-x\],

which is equivalent to:
\[|i-(n+1-j)|-|i-(n+1-i)|\leq|x-(n+1-j)|-|x-(n+1-i)|\] (8)

Since \[n+1-j < n+1-i\], by using Lemma 1, we obtain (8).

Case 3. \[i > x\]. \[\exists k\] such that \[\text{ord}(k \mid \sigma) = n+1-k\] and \[\text{ord}(k \mid \tau) > k\]. We denote \[\text{ord}(k \mid \tau) = y\]. Let \[k\] be the first element that satisfies the above condition. Because \[\text{ord}(k \mid \tau) > k\], there is \[i\] such that \[\text{ord}(l \mid \tau) = k\]. We will show that \[l > k\]. If \[l < k\], we are in the following situation:
\[\text{ord}(l \mid \tau) = k > l\].

But this is a contradiction with the hypothesis \((k\) is the first element in \(\sigma\) for which \(\text{ord}(k \mid \tau) > k\)). So, we have reduced this case to the precedent one.

**Proposition 3** For each \(\sigma \in S_n\) and every two permutations \(\tau, \pi\) such that \(\pi \notin \Theta_{\sigma}\) and \(\tau \notin \Theta_{\sigma}\), we have: \(\Delta(\sigma, \tau) < \Delta(\sigma, \pi)\).

**Proof:** Since \(\tau \notin \Theta_{\sigma}\), there is \(i \in \text{High}(\sigma)\) such that \(i \notin \text{Low}(\tau) \Rightarrow i \in \text{High}(\tau)\). So, there is \(j \in \text{Low}(\tau)\) such that \(j \in \text{Low}(\sigma)\). Let \(x = \text{ord}(i \mid \tau)\) be the rank of \(i\) in \(\tau\) and let \(y = \text{ord}(j \mid \tau)\) be the rank of \(j\) in \(\tau\). We interchange \(i\) and \(j\) in \(\tau\), obtaining a new permutation \(\tau'\). So, \(\text{ord}(i \mid \tau') = y\) and \(\text{ord}(j \mid \tau') = x\).

\[|\text{ord}(i \mid \sigma) - \text{ord}(i \mid \tau)| + |\text{ord}(j \mid \sigma) - \text{ord}(j \mid \tau)| \leq |\text{ord}(i \mid \sigma) - \text{ord}(i \mid \tau')| + |\text{ord}(j \mid \sigma) - \text{ord}(j \mid \tau')|\]
\[\leq |\text{ord}(i \mid \sigma) - x| + |\text{ord}(j \mid \sigma) - y| \leq |\text{ord}(i \mid \sigma) - y| + |\text{ord}(j \mid \sigma) - x|\] (9)

which is equivalent to:
\[|y - \text{ord}(j \mid \sigma)| - |y - \text{ord}(i \mid \sigma)| \leq |x - \text{ord}(j \mid \sigma)| - |\text{ord}(i \mid \sigma) - x|\] (10)

Since (10) is true from Lemma 1, it result that \(\Delta(\sigma, \tau) < \Delta(\sigma, \tau')\).

**Theorem 2** For a given permutation \(\sigma\) the maximum rank distance is achieved by all permutations from \(\Theta_{\sigma}\).

**Proof:** It results from Propositions (1), (2) and (3).

### 3.2 On the average rank distance

Here we investigate the problem of finding the average value of the rank-distance on the group of permutations \(S_n\).

The average rank-distance of \(S_n\) is defined by:

**Definition 2** We denote the average rank distance of \(S_n\) by \(E_\Delta(S_n)\) and it is defined as it follows:

\[E_\Delta(S_n) = \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \sum_{\tau \in S_n} \Delta(\sigma, \tau)\]

**Remark 2** An equivalent definition is:
On the behavior of certain metric on the permutations group

\[ E_\Delta(S_n) = \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \Delta(\sigma, S_n). \]

**Example 1** For \( n = 3 \), we have: \( S_3 = \{(1, 2, 3), (1, 3, 2), (2, 1, 3), (2, 3, 1), (3, 1, 2), (3, 2, 1)\} \). So, 
\[ E_\Delta(S_3) = \frac{1}{6} \cdot 16 = 2.66. \]

We are interested by an analytical formula of the average value of the rank distance.

**Lemma 2** \( \Delta(\sigma, S_n) = \Delta(\tau, S_n) \), for every two permutations \( \sigma, \tau \in S_n \).

Using this result, we obtain that the average value is equal to:

\[ E_\Delta(S_n) = \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \Delta(e_n, S_n), \] (11)

where \( e_n = (1, 2, \ldots, n) \).

**Theorem 3** The rank distance between \( S_n \) and its identical permutation \( e_n \) is equal to:

\[ \Delta(e_n, S_n) = \frac{(n+1)! (n-1)}{3} \] (12)

**Proof:** For an easy comprehension of our proof we’ll use a matrix \( M \) with \( n \) rows and \( n! \) columns, constructed as it follows: each column represents one of the \( n! \) permutations of \( S_n \). They are lexicographically ordered:

\[
M = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & n & n & n \\
2 & 2 & 2 & \cdots & n-1 & n-1 & n-1 \\
3 & 3 & 3 & \cdots & n-2 & n-2 & n-2 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
n-2 & n-2 & n-2 & \cdots & 2 & 3 & 3 \\
n-1 & n & n-2 & \cdots & 3 & 1 & 2 \\
n & n-1 & n & \cdots & 1 & 2 & 1 
\end{pmatrix}
\]

We can see that each number appears in the matrix exactly \((n-1)!\) times on first position, \((n-1)!\) times on second one, and so on, on \((n-1)!\) times on the \( n \)'th position.

We have:

\[
\Delta(e_n, S_n) = (n-1)! \left[ \frac{0+1+2+\ldots+(n-1)}{2} \right] + (n-1)! \left[ \frac{1+0+1+2+\ldots+(n-2)}{2} \right] +
\sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \frac{[\text{ord}(\sigma)] - \text{ord}(1\sigma)}{2} +
\sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \frac{[\text{ord}(2\sigma)] - \text{ord}(1\sigma)}{2} +
\sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \frac{[\text{ord}(3\sigma)] - \text{ord}(2\sigma)]}{2} +
\sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \frac{[\text{ord}(n\sigma)] - \text{ord}(n-1\sigma)]}{2} 
\]

\[
+\ldots+ (n-1)! \left[ \frac{(n-1)+(n-2)+\ldots+1+0}{2} \right] = (n-1)! \frac{n}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[ i(i-1) + (n-i)(n-i+1) \right] = (n-1)(n+1)! \]

\[ = (n-1)! \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[ \frac{i(i-1)}{2} + \frac{(n-i)(n-i+1)}{2} \right] = \frac{(n-1)(n+1)!}{3} \]
**Corollary 1** The average value of the rank distance is equal to:

\[ E_\Delta (S_n) = \frac{(n-1)(n+1)}{3}. \]

**Proof:** We have:

\[
E_\Delta (S_n) = \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{e \in S_n} \Delta(e, S_n) = \frac{1}{n!} \frac{(n-1)(n+1)!}{3} = \frac{(n-1)(n+1)}{3}
\]

**4 CONCLUSIONS**

In this paper we computed the maximum and the average values of the rank distance on the group of permutations \(S_n\). Both values are useful in practical problems which involved rank distance when it is stringent to compare a quantitative value to the maximum or average value. Usually, this is realized by normalizing the rank distance.
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