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#### Abstract

In the present paper we shall give the numerical values of the classical entropy of some quantum states of a given spin 2 j . These calculations support the idea that the states with the most spread Majorana configurations have the maximal values of classical entropy.


## 1 INTRODUCTION

In the Majorana's sphere representation [1, 10], a spin state of definite spin $j$ is represented by $2 j$ points on the Riemann sphere, generalizing the Bloch representation for spin $j=\frac{1}{2}$. Majorana introduced this sphere representation to aid the calculations of transition probabilities in quantum mechanics. In the paper [2], Lieb have made the conjecture that the states with minimum value of the classical entropy are the coherent states associated with the representations of the rotations group [3, 4]. In the paper [5] we have proved this conjecture for the states with spin $j=1$. Because the fact that the classical entropy of a spin state is a functional which is invariant with respect to the rotations, and as a consequence of the L. Michel conjecture $[6,7]$, we try to "prove" that the states which give the maximum value for the classical entropy are the states which correspond to the critical orbits.

## 2. THE MAJORANA SPHERE

In order to give a classification of the orbits of the unitary action $U_{j}$ of the rotation group $\operatorname{SO}(3)$ in the $2 j+1$-dimensional Hilbert space $H_{j}$ we shall use the Majorana representation of the spin states. In this representation there is a one-to-one, covariant correspondence between the vectors from the Hilbert space $H_{j}$ and the sets of $2 j$-points on the two-dimensional Majorana's sphere $S^{2}$. Let $u \in H_{j}$ denotes an abstract quantum state with definite integer or half integer spin $j$ i.e. it is an eigenvector of the total angular momentum $\vec{J}^{2}$. With respect to a certain coordinate frame $u$ may be written $|u\rangle=\sum_{m=j}^{m=-j} c_{m} v_{m}$ where $v_{m}$ is an eigenvector of the angular momentum component operator $\vec{J}_{z}$ with eigenvalue $m$. The phase convention used is the standard Condon-Shortley convention given by applying successive raising operators to the vector $v_{-j}$. In order to describe this correspondence let us consider the two-dimensional sphere $S^{2}$ as the

[^0][^1]Riemann's sphere with the complex coordinate $z(\theta, \phi)=\exp (i \phi) \tan \frac{\theta}{2}$ obtained by the stereographic projection. The action of the rotation group $S O(3)$ on the coordinate $z$ is given by the homographic transformations. Let $K_{j}$ be the Hilbert space of the polynomials in $z$-variable of degree smaller or equal with $2 j$ and square-integrable with respect to the invariant measure on sphere $d v(z, \bar{z})=\frac{2 j+1}{2 \pi i} \frac{d z \Lambda d \bar{z}}{\left(1+|z|^{2}\right)^{2 j+1}}$. On the Hilbert space $K_{j}$ with dimension equal with $2 j+1$ and the canonical orthonormal basis given by the polynomials $\left\{\left(\sqrt{\frac{(2 j)!}{(j+m)!(j-m)!}} z^{j+m}\right), m=-j,-j+1, \ldots, j-1, j\right\}$ the rotation group $S O(3)$ acts by unitary operators defined in the following way:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(V_{j}(g) P\right)(z)=P\left(\frac{a z+b}{-\bar{b} z+\bar{a}}\right)(-\bar{b} z+\bar{a})^{2_{j}} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the following theorem holds:
THEOREM: The intertwining operator $T: H_{j} \rightarrow K_{j}$ for the equivalent irreducible unitary representations $U_{j}$ and $V_{j}$ of $S O(3)$ is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(T u)(z)=P_{u}(z)=\left(u, U_{j}(g) v_{j}\right)\left(1+|z|^{2}\right)^{j} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $z=\frac{b}{\bar{a}}$ and $u \in H_{j}$.
Hence, this theorem ascribes to any vector, $u \in H_{j}$, a polynomial $P_{u}(z) \in K_{j}$. $\mid u>=\sum_{m=j}^{m=-j} c_{m} v_{m}$ then:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{u}(z)=\sum_{m=-j}^{m=j}(-1)^{j+m} \bar{c}_{m} \sqrt{\frac{(2 j)!}{(j+m)!(j-m)!}} z^{j+m} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\sum_{m=-j}^{m=j}\left|c_{m}\right|^{2}=1$ every polynomial $P_{u}(z)$ is uniquely determined up to a phase by its $2 j$ roots $\left\{z_{k}\right\}_{k=1,2, \ldots, 2 j}$ of which are $2 j$ points on the Riemann's sphere. This representation is called the Majorana representation. The Majorana representation is covariant with respect of the actions of the rotation group $S O(3)$ on the Hilbert space $K_{j}$ and the sphere $S^{2}$ respectively. This means that to the vector $U_{j}(g) u$ the Majorana representation ascribes the set $\left\{z_{k}\right\}_{k=1,2, \ldots, 2 j}$ of $2 j$ points. This property is a consequence of the fact that the operator $T$ is an intertwining operator i.e. $T U_{j}(g)=V_{j}(g) T$ and of the fact that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(V_{j}(g) P\right)(z)=(-\bar{b} z+\bar{a}) P(g z) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

As a consequence the problem of the classification of the orbits of the rotation group $S O(3)$ in $H_{j}$ is reduced to the problem of the classification of the orbits of the action of the rotation group on the configurations of $2 j$ points on the Majorana's sphere $S^{2}$.

## 3. THE SUBGROUPS OF THE ROTATIONS GROUP WHICH LEAVE UNCHANGED SOME MAJORANA'S CONFIGURATIONS OF $2 j$ POINTS

The subgroups of the rotations group which leave unchanged some configurations of $2 j$ points on the Majorana's sphere are the following subgroups: $S O(2), O(2), C(n), D(n),(n \leq 2 j), T, O, I$.

The constellations which describe the critical orbits $[6,8]$ are given in the following table:
Table 1

| The constellations | The associated vectors | The symmetry group |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \left(n_{N}, 0, n_{S}\right) \\ & m=\frac{n_{N}-n_{S}}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & v_{m} \\ & v_{-m} \end{aligned}$ | $S O(2)$ |
| ( $j, 0, j$ ) | $v_{0}$ | $O(2)$ |
| ( $j-m, 2 m, j-m)$ | $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(v_{m}+v_{-m}\right)$ | $D(2 m)$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & z^{k}\left(z^{3}-2 \sqrt{2}\right)^{k}=0, \\ & j=2 k, k=1,2, \ldots \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} v_{2}+\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} v_{-1} \\ & \text { only for } j=2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} T \\ \text { tetrahedral } \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & z^{k}\left(z^{4}-1\right)^{k} \frac{1}{z^{k}}=0, \\ & j=3 k \text { or } 4 k, k=1,2, \ldots \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(v_{2}+v_{-2}\right) \\ & \text { only for } j=3 \end{aligned}$ | O <br> octahedral |
| $\begin{aligned} & z^{k}\left(z^{5}-\left(\frac{\sqrt{5}-1}{2}\right)^{5}\right)^{k}\left(z^{5}+\left(\frac{2}{\sqrt{5}-1}\right)^{5}\right) \frac{1}{z^{k}}=0, \\ & j=6 k \text { or } 10 k, k=1,2, \ldots \end{aligned}$ |  | $\stackrel{I}{\text { icosahedral }}$ |

We have used the following notations for the configurations of $2 j$ points which describe the critical orbits: $\left(n_{N}, n_{E}, n_{S}\right)$, where $n_{N}$ is the number of points which are placed at the north pole, $n_{E}$ is the number of points which are placed on the equator and $n_{S}$ is the number of points which are placed at the south pole. Evidently we must have $n_{N}+n_{E}+n_{S}=2 j$.

## 4. THE CLASSICAL ENTROPY OF THE STATES DESCRIBED BY THE VECTORS OF THE <br> TYPE $c_{m} v_{m}+c_{n} v_{n}$

In this section, we shall give the analytic formulae for the classical entropy $S^{j}(u)$ of the spin system state $u=c_{m} v_{m}+c_{n} v_{n}$ with real components $c_{m}, c_{n}$ and with $m>n$, as a function of the positive real parameter $r$ defined by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
r=\left[\frac{c_{m}{ }^{2}(j+n)!(j-n)!}{c_{n}{ }^{2}(j+m)!(j-m)!}\right]^{\frac{1}{m-n}} \in(0, \infty) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to simplify the exposition we shall not give the calculations which are somewhat laborious. Because the vector $u=c_{m} v_{m}+c_{n} v_{n}$ is completely determined by $m, n, r$ we shall denote by $S^{j}(m, n ; r)$ the classical entropy of this quantum state.

In the following we shall give the concrete values of this general formula for the classical entropy for the states enumerate in the Table1:

$$
\begin{align*}
& S^{j}\left(v_{m}\right)=(j+m)\left(\frac{1}{j+m+!}+\ldots+\frac{1}{2 j}\right)+(j+m)\left(\frac{1}{j-m+1}+\ldots+\frac{1}{2 j}\right)- \\
& \ln \frac{(2 j)!}{(j+m)!(j-m)!}+\frac{2 j}{2 j+1} \tag{4.2}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& S^{j}(2,-1 ; r)=-2 \ln 2-3 \ln (1+r)+\ln \left(1+4 r^{3}\right)-2+ \\
& \frac{1}{30\left(1+4 r^{3}\right)(1+r)^{5}}\left[336 r^{8}+2040 r^{7}+4980 r^{6}+6334 r^{5}+4850 r^{4}+2941 r^{3}+\right.  \tag{4.3}\\
& \left.1795 r^{2}+785 r+139\right]
\end{align*}
$$

From the formula for $S^{j}(m,-m ; r)$ we obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{d}{d r} S^{j}(m,-m ; r)\right|_{r=1}=0 \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{d^{2}}{d r^{2}} S^{j}(m,-m ; r)\right|_{r=1}<0 \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

This proves that $S^{j}(m,-m ; r)$ takes the maximum value on the vectors $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(v_{m}+v_{-m}\right)$ which gives the critical orbits with the symmetries $D(2 m)$ in general and with the symmetry $O$ for $j=3$ and $m=2$. Also it is easy to shown that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{d}{d r} S^{2}(2,-1 ; r)\right|_{r=\frac{1}{2}}=0 \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{d^{2}}{d r^{2}} S^{j}(2,-1 ; r)\right|_{r=\frac{1}{2}}<0 \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e. among the vectors $u=c_{2} v_{2}+c_{-1} v_{-1}$ the maximum value of the classical entropy is attained on the vector $\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\left(v_{2}+\sqrt{2} v_{-1}\right)$ with the symmetry $T$. For the "crown states" [9] $u=c_{j} v_{j}+c_{-j} v_{-j}$ we have:

$$
\begin{align*}
& S^{j}(j,-j ; r)=\frac{2 j}{2 j+1}+\ln \left(1+r^{2 j}\right) 2 j \ln (1+r)+ \\
& \frac{2 j}{1+r^{2 j}} \sum_{k=1}^{2 j+1} \frac{r^{k}+r^{2 j}}{k(1+r)^{k}}-\left(\frac{2 j}{2 j+1}+2\right) \frac{r^{2 j}}{(1+r)^{2 j}\left(1+r^{2 j}\right)} \tag{4.8}
\end{align*}
$$

In the particular case of the vectors $u=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(v_{j}+v_{-j}\right)$ becomes:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S^{j}(j,-j ; 1)=\frac{2 j}{2 j+1}+\ln 2+2 j\left(\sum_{k=1}^{2 j-1} \frac{1}{2^{k} k}-\ln 2\right) \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

We remark that

$$
\begin{equation*}
S^{j}(j,-j ; 1)>\frac{2 j}{2 j+1} \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a consequence of the fact that always:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln 2+2 j\left(\sum_{k=1}^{2 j-1} \frac{1}{2^{k} k}-\ln 2\right)>0 \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the case $j=1$ we obtain for the states of type $\frac{1}{\sqrt{r+2}}\left(\sqrt{r} v_{0}+\sqrt{2} v_{-1}\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
S^{1}(0,-1 ; r)>\frac{2}{3}+\frac{r}{r+2}-\ln \left(1+\frac{r}{r+2}\right) \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence $S^{1}(0,-1 ; r)$ is a monotone increasing function of $r \in(0, \infty)$ with minimum value $S^{1}(0,-1 ; 0)=\frac{2}{3}$ attained for the vector $v_{1}$ and any rotationally related other vector. Because in the case $j=1$ we have only three strata generated by vectors $v_{1}, v_{1}$ and $\frac{1}{\sqrt{r+2}}\left(\sqrt{r} v_{0}+\sqrt{2} v_{-1}\right)$ it follows that the Lieb's conjecture is valid [5]. In the table 2 we shall give numerical values of the classical entropy. We remark that in the case $j=2$ the vectors $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(v_{1}+v_{-1}\right)$ and $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(v_{2}+v_{-2}\right)$ are in the same orbits.

Table 2

| $j$ | $u$ | $S^{j}(u)-\frac{2 j}{2 j+1}$ | The symmetry group of $u$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| $\frac{3}{2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & v_{\frac{3}{2}} \\ & v_{\frac{1}{2}}^{2} \\ & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(v_{\frac{1}{2}}+v_{-\frac{1}{2}}\right) \\ & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(v_{\frac{3}{2}}+v_{-\frac{3}{2}}\right) \end{aligned}$ | 0 0.40139 0.27632 0.48870 | $\mathrm{SO}(2)$ <br> $\mathrm{SO}(2)$ <br> $\mathrm{D}(1)$ <br> $\mathrm{D}(2)$ |
| 2 | $\begin{aligned} & v_{2} \\ & v_{1} \\ & v_{0} \\ & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(v_{1}+v_{-1}\right) \\ & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(v_{2}+v_{-2}\right) \\ & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\left(v_{2}+\sqrt{2} v_{-1}\right) \end{aligned}$ | 0 0.44703 0.54157 0.58721 0.58721 0.69166 | $\mathrm{SO}(2)$ <br> $\mathrm{SO}(2)$ <br> $\mathrm{O}(2)$ <br> D(4) <br> D(4) <br> T |
| $\frac{5}{2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & v_{\frac{3}{2}}^{2} \\ & v_{\frac{3}{2}} \\ & v_{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(v_{\frac{1}{2}}+v_{-\frac{1}{2}}\right) \\ & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(v_{\frac{3}{2}}+v_{-\frac{3}{2}}\right) \\ & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(v_{\frac{5}{2}}+v_{-\frac{5}{2}}\right) \end{aligned}$ | 0 0.47389 0.61408 0.68698 0.82195 0.63880 | $\mathrm{SO}(2)$ <br> SO(2) <br> $\mathrm{SO}(2)$ <br> $\mathrm{D}(1)$ <br> D(3) <br> D(5) |

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 1 \& 2 \& 3 \& 4 <br>
\hline \multirow[t]{7}{*}{3} \& $v_{3}$ \& 0 \& $\mathrm{SO}(2)$ <br>
\hline \& $v_{2}$ \& 0.49157 \& $\mathrm{SO}(2)$ <br>
\hline \& $v_{1}$ \& 0.65861 \& $\mathrm{SO}(2)$ <br>
\hline \& $$
v_{0}
$$ \& 0.70426 \& $\mathrm{O}(2)$ <br>
\hline \& $$
\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(v_{1}+v_{-1}\right)
$$ \& 0.70505 \& D (2) <br>
\hline \& $$
\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(v_{2}+v_{-2}\right)
$$ \& 0.97879 \& O <br>
\hline \& $$
\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(v_{3}+v_{-3}\right)
$$ \& 0.66550 \& D(6) <br>
\hline \multirow[t]{8}{*}{$\frac{7}{2}$} \& $$
v_{\frac{3}{2}}
$$ \& 0 \& $\mathrm{SO}(2)$ <br>
\hline \& $$
v_{\frac{3}{2}}
$$ \& 0.50408 \& $\mathrm{SO}(2)$ <br>
\hline \& $$
v_{\frac{3}{2}}
$$ \& 0.68820 \& $\mathrm{SO}(2)$ <br>
\hline \& $$
v_{\frac{1}{2}}
$$ \& 0.76131 \& $\mathrm{SO}(2)$ <br>
\hline \& $$
\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(v_{1}+v_{-1}\right)
$$ \& 0.89933 \& D (1) <br>
\hline \& $$
\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(v_{\frac{3}{2}}+v_{-\frac{3}{2}}\right)
$$ \& 0.93844 \& D (2) <br>
\hline \& $$
\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(v_{\frac{5}{2}}+v_{-\frac{5}{2}}\right)
$$ \& 1.07783

0.67901 \& D (3) <br>

\hline \& $$
\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(v_{\frac{7}{2}}+v_{-\frac{7}{2}}\right)
$$ \& 0.67901 \& D(7) <br>

\hline
\end{tabular}
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