# SOLVING A CONJECTURE ABOUT CERTAIN f - EXPANSIONS

Adriana BERECHET

"Gheorghe Mihoc – Caius Iacob" Institute of Mathematical Statistics and Applied Mathematics of the Romanian Academy Casa Academiei Române, Calea 13 Septembrie nr. 13, RO– 050711 Bucharest 5, Romania

The conjecture asserts that the equivalence of the label sequence of the regular continued fraction (RCF) expansion to the sequence  $(\xi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_+}$  associated with it by the basic existence Theorem 1.1.2 from [6], still holds for the label sequence of any *f*-expansion satisfying conditions (C) and (BD<sup>(2)</sup>). We prove that condition (C) and a strengthening of a Lipschitz condition used in [8] are sufficient to ensure a necessary and sufficient condition under which the asserted equivalence holds. The proof involves processes on several probability spaces and some associated dynamical systems relating the *f*-expansion considered to r.v.s. on the probability space used in the concluding theorem.

# **1. INTRODUCTION**

Let  $\mathbf{N}_{+} = \{1, 2, ...\}$  and  $\mathbf{N} = \mathbf{N}_{+} \cup \{0\}$ . Given an RSCC  $\{(W, W), X, u, P\}$ , where X is a countable set, by Theorem 1.1.2 in [6] for any  $w \in W$  there exist a probability space  $(\Omega, K, P_w)$  and a sequence  $(\xi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of X-valued r.v.s. on  $(\Omega, K)$  such that

a. 
$$P_{w}(\xi_{1} = i) = P(w, i),$$
  
 $P_{w}(\xi_{n+1} = i | \xi_{1}, ..., \xi_{n}, \zeta_{0}, \zeta_{1}, ..., \zeta_{n}) = P(\zeta_{n}, i), i \in X, n \in \mathbf{N}_{+},$ 
(1)

where

 $\zeta_n = u_{\xi_n \dots \xi_1} \left( w \right), \quad n \in \mathbf{N}_+, \ \zeta_0 \equiv w.$ 

b. The sequence  $(\zeta_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a *W*-valued homogenous Markov chain.

In particular, this theorem holds for the RSCC associated with the RCF and *D*-adic expansions. Denoting by  $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_+}$  the label sequence and by  $\lambda$  the Lebesgue measure, in these two cases the following equations which can be obtained by direct computation do hold:

$$\lambda(a_1 = i) = P(0, i) \quad ; \ \lambda(a_{n+1} = i \mid a_1 = i_1, ..., a_n = i_n) = P(u_{i_n ... i_1}(0))$$
(2)

In what follows we shall use the notation from [6] where is shown that with any *f*-expansion satisfying conditions  $(BD^{(2)})$  and (C) one can associate an RSCC. Hence one may particularize (1) to such *f*-expansions.

Let I = [0,1] and denote by  $B_I$  the  $\sigma$ -algebra of Borel sets in I. For any  $n \in \mathbb{N}_+$  let  $I(i^{(n)}), i^{(n)} \in X^n$ , be the fundamental intervals of order n and  $E_n$  the set of their endpoints. Let be  $[\alpha, \beta]$  the interval of definition of f and put  $I := I \setminus \bigcup_{n \ge 1} E_n$ . Clearly,  $\lambda(I) = 1$ .

Recommended by Marius IOSIFESCU, member of the Romanian Academy

It is known (see, e.g.,[6]) that when f has a second derivative in  $[\alpha, \beta] \setminus \mathbf{N}_+$ , and conditions (C) and (BD<sup>(2)</sup>) are fulfilled, hence an RSCC can be associated, the following properties hold.

c. The terms of the label sequence  $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of the *f*-expansion are r.v. on  $(I, B_I)$ .

d. The so-called representation map  $\varphi(w) = (a_n(w))_{n \in \mathbb{N}_+}$  is well defined in *I*. Hence an *f*-expansion exists for almost every point  $w \in I$ .

e. The *f*-expansion transformation  $\tau_f$  defined by  $\tau_f(w)$  = fractionary part of  $f^{-1}(w), w \in I$ , has a unique invariant probability  $\mu$  on  $B_I$ . We have  $\mu \equiv \lambda$  while  $h := d\mu / d\lambda$  is Lipschitz continuous on *I*.

We are now able to state the conjecture formulated in [5] and [6]. Consider an f-expansion for which conditions (BD<sup>(2)</sup>) and (C) are satisfied. Then the label sequence  $(a_n)$  under  $\lambda$  is equivalent to the sequence

 $(\xi_n)$  under  $P_0$ . Hence the conjecture asserts that equations (2) hold in the general case.

Assume that the *f*-expansions considered are such that df(x)/dx continuously exists in  $[\alpha,\beta]$ , except perhaps for  $x \in \mathbb{N}$ , and that the hypotheses called (E) and (C) in [8] hold. Then given an *f*-expansion one may also define an RSCC and the properties c.- e. also hold.

Denote by U the Perron-Frobenius operator of  $\tau_f$  under  $\mu$ . For arbitrary  $w \in I$  we associate with the f-expansion the sequence  $(s_n^w)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of I-valued r.v.s on  $(I, B_I)$  defined recursively by  $s_n^w = f(a_n + s_{n-1}^w), n \in \mathbb{N}_+$   $s_0^w = w, w \in I$ . We note that  $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_+}$  and  $(s_n^w)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  are strictly stationary under  $\mu$ .

#### 2. AN INFINITE ORDER CHAIN REPRESENTATION

We define the natural extension T of  $\tau_f$  by  $T(\theta, \omega) = (\tau_f(\theta), s_1^{\omega}(\theta)), \ \theta, \omega \in I$ . This is a one-to-one transformation of  $I \times I$  with inverse  $T^{-1}(\theta, \omega) = (s_1^{\theta}(\omega), \tau_f(\omega))$ . Let us denote  $\overline{i^{(n)}} := (i_n, ..., i_1) \in X^n, n \in \mathbf{N}_+$ .

We now define constructively a *T*-invariant measure. Let  $n, s \in \mathbf{N}_+$ ,  $i^{(n)} \in X^n$ ,  $v^{(s)} \in X^s$ . Since  $(\overline{i^{(n)}}v^{(s)}) = (i_n, ..., i_1, v_1, ..., v_s) = \overline{(\overline{v^{(s)}}i^{(n)})}$ , we have

$$T^{s}\left(I\left(\overline{v^{(s)}}i^{(n)}\right)\times I\right)=I\left(i^{(n)}\right)\times I\left(v^{(s)}\right)\equiv T^{-n}\left(I\times I\left(\overline{i^{(n)}}v^{(s)}\right)\right).$$

Denote by  $\Sigma_n$  the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by the fundamental intervals of order  $n \in \mathbf{N}_+$ .

Let  $I_n(\Lambda) := \bigcup_{i^{(n)} \in \Lambda} I(i^{(n)}), V_s(\Lambda') = \bigcup_{v^{(s)} \in \Lambda'} I(v^{(s)}), \overline{I_n}(\Lambda) := \bigcup_{i^{(n)} \in \Lambda} I(\overline{i^{(n)}})$  for any  $\Lambda \subset X^n, \Lambda' \subset X^s$ . Clearly,  $I_n(\Lambda)$  and  $V_s(\Lambda')$  are typical elements of  $\Sigma_n$  and  $\Sigma_s$ , respectively. We define a set-function  $\overline{\mu}$  on  $\Sigma_n \times \Sigma_s$  by setting

$$\overline{\mu}\left(I_{n}\left(\Lambda\right)\times V_{s}\left(\Lambda^{'}\right)\right)\equiv\mu\left(\overline{I_{n}}\left(\Lambda\right)\cap\left(\tau_{f}^{s}\in V_{s}\left(\Lambda^{'}\right)\right)\right)$$
(3)

 $\overline{\mu}$  so defined is uniquely determined. Clearly,  $\{\Sigma_n \times \Sigma_s, n, s \in \mathbf{N}_+\}$  generates the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra on  $I \times I$ . One can extend the function  $\overline{\mu}$  to a measure on  $B_I \times B_I$ , which we also denote  $\overline{\mu}$ . By Caratheodory's theorem such an extension exists and is unique. Let us denote by  $\overline{\lambda}$  the Lebesgue measure on  $I \times I$ .

**Theorem 1** (Properties of  $\overline{\mu}$  ).

i. μ̄ is invariant under T and T<sup>-1</sup>;
ii. μ̄ has marginal distributions equal to μ;
iii. μ̄ is a symmetric measure.

To prove the last assertion, we use the ergodic Theorem 5 in [2] or [9].

Theorem 1 implies that one can replace (3) by the symmetric relation in the definition of  $\overline{\mu}$ .

By the Radon-Nikodym theorem there uniquely exists a measurable nonnegative random variable  $\overline{\alpha}$  on

 $(I \times I, B_I \times B_I, \overline{\lambda})$  such that

$$\overline{\mu}\left(\hat{A}\right) = \iint_{\hat{A}} \overline{\alpha} \, \mathrm{d}\overline{\lambda} \,, \, \hat{A} \in B_I \times B_I \,.$$

In the sequel the Hölder condition has the meaning defined in [4] while the kernel associated with a piecewise monotonic transformation has the meaning defined in [7].

**Proposition 2** (Properties of  $\overline{\alpha}$ ).

i.  $\int_{0}^{1} \overline{\alpha}(x, y) dy = h(x)$  for any  $x \in I$ , and  $\overline{\alpha}$  is symmetric; ii.  $\overline{\alpha}$  satisfies a Hölder condition of order 1; iii.  $\overline{\alpha}$  is a kernel.

We can now define the infinite order chains involving T and  $\overline{\mu}$ . Our definitions here are formally identical with those for the RCF expansion (see [4]).

We define the X-valued r.v.s.  $\overline{a}_n$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , on  $(I \times I, B_I \times B_I)$  by

$$\overline{a}_{n}(\theta,\omega) = a_{n}(\theta), n \in \mathbf{N}_{+}, \ \overline{a}_{0}(\theta,\omega) = a_{1}(\omega), \ \overline{a}_{-l}(\theta,\omega) = a_{l+1}(\omega), \ l \in \mathbf{N}_{+}$$

Hence  $\overline{a}_n = \overline{a}_{n-1}(T), n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

We also consider the *I*-valued random variables  $\overline{s}_l$ ,  $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ , defined by

$$\overline{s}_{-l}(\theta,\omega) = \tau_{f}^{l}(\omega), \ l \in \mathbf{N}, \ \overline{s}_{n}(\theta,\omega) = s_{n}^{\omega}(\theta), \ n \in \mathbf{N}_{+}.$$

The doubly infinite sequences  $(T^n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}, (\overline{a}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  and  $(\overline{s}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  are respectively  $I \times I$ -, X- and I- valued strictly stationary symmetric processes under  $\overline{\mu}$ . In other words, they are infinite order chains on  $(I \times I, B_I \times B_I, \overline{\mu})$ .

The introduction in the next section of probability measures  $\mu_w, w \in I$ , will allow us to complete the description of probabilistic properties of our infinite order chains. It is based on classical notions as given in [3].

#### **3. CONDITIONAL PROBABILITY MEASURES**

Whatever  $w \in I$  define

$$\mu_{w}(A) := \int_{A} \frac{\overline{\alpha}(x,w)}{h(w)} \mathrm{d}x, \ A \in B_{I}$$

Then  $\mu_w(\cdot)$  such defined is a probability on  $B_I$ . In the RCF case  $\mu_w(\cdot)$  can be expressed in closed form and coincides with the function denoted by  $\gamma_a(\cdot)$  in [4];  $\mu_w(\cdot)$  has most of its properties.

**Theorem 3** (Properties of  $\mu_w$ ).

i.  $\overline{\mu}(\overline{a}_{1} = i | \overline{a}_{0}, \overline{a}_{-1}, ...) = \mu_{\overline{s}_{0}}(I(i)) = P(\overline{s}_{0}, i) \quad \overline{\mu} - a.s., i \in X ;$ ii.  $\overline{\mu}(A \times I | \overline{s}_{0}) = \mu_{\overline{s}_{0}}(A) \quad \overline{\mu} - a.s., A \in B_{I} ;$ iii.  $(\overline{s}_{n})_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is an I-valued Markov chain on  $(I \times I, B_{I} \times B_{I}, \overline{\mu}).$ 

We now return to the random variables on  $(I, B_I)$  involved in the conjecture. By the next theorem we see the impact of probabilistic properties of infinite order chains on the sequence  $(s_n^w)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  defined on  $(I, B_I, \mu_w)$ . Below  $E_w$  denotes the mean under  $P_w$ ,  $w \in I$ .

**Theorem 4** (Properties of the distribution of  $s_n^w$ ). i.  $\lambda \equiv \mu_w, w \in I$ ; ii.  $\mu(A) = \int_0^1 \mu_w (s_n^w \in A) \mu(dw), \quad A \in B_I, \quad n \in \mathbf{N}_+$ ; iii.  $\mu_w (s_n^w \in A) = E_w (\chi_A \{s_n^w\}) \equiv U^n \chi_A(w), \quad w \in \mathbb{I}, \quad n \in \mathbf{N}_+$ .

Hence, whatever  $w \in I$ ,  $(s_n^w)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is an *I*-valued Markov chain on  $(I, B_I, \mu_w)$  with transition operator U.

Using the results above we can prove the next result which is essentially used in the proof of Theorem 6 below.

**Theorem 5.** We have  $\lambda = \mu_0$  and  $\overline{\alpha}(w, 0) = h(0) = \overline{\alpha}(0, w)$ ,  $w \in I$ .

### **4. THE SOLUTION**

As we already said, our result confirming the conjecture stated in Section 1 concerns *f*-expansion satisfying Rényi's condition on distortion and a strengthened Lipschitz condition. More precisely, we have

**Theorem 6** (Main result). Consider an f-expansion for which conditions (E) and (C) hold.

i. Equations (2) are valid. Hence the label sequence  $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbf{N}_+}$  under  $\lambda = \mu_0$  is equivalent to the sequence  $(\xi_n)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$  under  $P_0$ .

ii. The sequence  $(s_n^0)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  on  $(I, B_I, \lambda)$  is an I-valued homogenous Markov chain equivalent to the Markov chain  $(\zeta_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  on  $(\Omega, K, P_0)$ .

iii. The representation map  $\varphi$  settles an isomorphism of measure spaces between  $(I, B_I, \lambda)$  and  $(\Omega, K, P_0)$ .

The statement of conditions (E) and (C) can be found in [1],[8],[9].

Note that conditions (E) and (C) imply a condition slightly weaker than  $(BD^{(2)})$  (esssup replaces sup in  $(BD^{(2)})$ ) so that one can say that the conjecture is proved as formulated.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. BERECHET, A., A Kuzmin-type theorem with exponential convergence for a class of fibred systems, Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems, **21**, pp. 673–688, 2001.
- 2. BERECHET, A., Simple proof of Kuzmin's theorem with exponential convergence for a class of fibred systems, Preprint IMAR, 9/2001.
- 3. FELLER, W., An Introduction to Probability Theory and its Applications, Vol. 2. New York, Wiley, 1966.
- 4. IOSIFESCU, M., KRAAIKAMP, C., Metrical Theory of Continued Fractions. Dordrecht, Kluwer, 2002.
- 5. IOSIFESCU, M., *f-expansions: a result and a query*. Rev.Roumaine Math.Pures Appl. **30**, pp. 149-150, 1985.
- 6. IOSIFESCU, M., GRIGORESCU, S., Dependence with Complete Connections and its Applications. Cambridge, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1990.
- 7. SCHWEIGER, F., Ergodic Theory of Fibred Systems and Metric Number Theory. Oxford, Clarendon Press, 1995.
- 8. SCHWEIGER, F., Kuzmin's theorem revisited. Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems, 20, pp. 557–565, 2000.
- 9. SCHWEIGER, F., Multidimensional Continued Fractions. Oxford, Oxford Univ. Press, 2000.

Received July 21, 2004