MULTIOBJECTIVE PROGRAMMING PROBLEMS WITH GENERALIZED V-TYPE-I UNIVEXITY AND RELATED *n*-SET FUNCTIONS

Vasile PREDA^{*}, Ioan M. STANCU-MINASIAN^{**}, Miruna BELDIMAN^{*}, Andreea Mădălina STANCU^{*}

*University of Bucharest, Faculty of Mathematics and Informatics,

Str. Academiei 14, Ro-010014, Bucharest, Romania

**The Romanian Academy, Institute of Mathematical Statistics and Applied Mathematics,

Calea 13 Septembrie nr. 13, Ro-050711, Bucharest 5, Romania, E-mail: stancum@csm.ro

We study optimality conditions and generalized Mond-Weir duality for multiobjective programming involving n-set functions which satisfy appropriate generalized V-type-I university conditions.

Key words: optimality, duality, multiobjective programming, n-set function, generalized V-type-I univexity.

1. PRELIMINARIES

Let \mathbb{R}^n be the *n*-dimensional Euclidean space and \mathbb{R}^n_+ its positive orthant, i.e.

$$\mathbb{R}^{n}_{+} = \Big\{ x = (x_{1}, \dots, x_{n}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, x_{j} \ge 0, j = 1, \dots, n \Big\}.$$

For any vectors $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we use the following notation: x < y if $x_i < y_i$, i = 1, 2, ..., n; $x \le y$ iff $x_i \le y_i$, i = 1, 2, ..., n; $x \le y$ iff $x_i \le y_i$, i = 1, 2, ..., n; $x \le y$ if $x \le y$, but $x \ne y$; $x^\top y = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i$.

For an arbitrary vector $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and a subset J of the index set $\{1, 2, ..., n\}$, we denote by x_j the vector with components x_j , $j \in J$.

Let (X,Γ,μ) be a finite atomless measure space and assume that $L_1(X,\Gamma,\mu)$ is a separable function space. For $h \in L_1(X,\Gamma,\mu)$ and $Z \in \Gamma$ with indicator function $I_Z \in L_{\infty}(X,\Gamma,\mu)$, the integral $\int_Z h \, d\mu$ will be denoted by $\langle h, I_Z \rangle$.

Now, we shall define the notion of differentiability for *n*-set functions. Morris [7] introduced differentiability for set functions and Corley [4] defined this notion for *n*-set functions.

A function $\varphi: \Gamma \to \mathbb{R}$ is said to be differentiable at T if there exists $D\varphi_T \in L_1(X, \Gamma, \mu)$, called the derivative of φ at T, such that

$$\varphi(S) = \varphi(T) + \langle D\varphi_T, I_S - I_T \rangle + \psi(S,T)$$

for each $S \in \Gamma$, where $\psi: \Gamma \times \Gamma \to \mathbb{R}$ and has the property that $\psi(S,T)$ is o(d(S,T)), that is $\lim_{d(S,T)\to 0} \psi(S,T)/d(S,T) = 0$, and *d* is a pseudometric on $\Gamma[7]$.

A function $h: \Gamma^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is said to have a partial derivative at $S^0 = (S_1^0, ..., S_n^0)$ with respect to its k-th argument, $1 \le k \le n$, if the function

$$\varphi(S_k) = h(S_1^0, \dots, S_{k-1}^0, S_k, S_{k+1}^0, \dots, S_n^0)$$

has derivative $D\varphi_{S_k^0}$, and we define $D_k h(S^0) = D\varphi_{S_k^0}$. If the $D_k h(S^0)$, $1 \le k \le n$, all exist, then we put $Dh(S^0) = (D_1 h(S^0), ..., D_n h(S^0))$. If $H : \Gamma^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$, $H = (H_1, ..., H_m)$, we put $D_k H(S^0) = (D_k H_1(S^0))$.

A function $h: \Gamma^n \to \mathbb{R}$ is said to be differentiable at $S^0 \in \Gamma^n$ if there exist $Dh(S^0)$ and $\psi: \Gamma^n \times \Gamma^n \to \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$h(S) = h(S^{\circ}) + \sum_{k=1}^{n} \langle D_{k}h(S^{\circ}), I_{S_{k}} - I_{S_{k}^{\circ}} \rangle + \psi(S, S^{\circ})$$

where $\psi(S, S^0)$ is $o[d(S, S^0)]$ for all $S \in \Gamma^n$.

A vector set function $f = (f_1, ..., f_p): \Gamma \to \mathbb{R}^p$ is differentiable on Γ if all its component functions f_i , $1 \le i \le p$, are differentiable on Γ .

In this paper we consider the n-set function multiobjective optimization problem

(VP) $minimize\left\{f\left(S\right)=\left(f_{1}\left(S\right),...,f_{p}\left(S\right)\right)\mid g\left(S\right)\leq 0,S\in\Gamma^{n}\right\},\right.$

where $f: \Gamma^n \to \mathbb{R}^p$ and $g: \Gamma^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$ are differentiable *n*-set functions on Γ^n . The problem is to find the collection of (properly) efficient sets defined below.

Let $\mathcal{P} = \{S \in \Gamma^n \mid g(S) \leq 0\}$ be the set of all feasible solutions for problem (VP).

Definition 1.1. A feasible solution $S^0 \in \mathcal{P}$ is said to be an efficient solution (Pareto solution) for problem (VP) if there exists no other feasible solution $S \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $f(S) \leq f(S^0)$.

Definition 1.2. An efficient solution S^0 to (VP) is called properly efficient, if there exists a positive number M with the property that, if $f_i(S) < f_i(S^0)$, for each i and $S \in P$, then $\frac{f_i(S^0) - f_i(S)}{f_j(S) - f_j(S^0)} \leq M$ for some j for which $f_j(S) > f_j(S^0)$.

We shall consider a partition $\{J_0, J_1, ..., J_k\}$ of the index set $\{1, 2, ..., m\}$, that is, $\bigcup_{s=0}^{k} J_s = \{1, 2, ..., m\}$, and $J_s \cap J_t = \emptyset$ for any $s \neq t$. Put

$$\boldsymbol{\psi}_{i}\left(S,\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{J_{0}}\right)=f_{i}\left(S\right)+\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{J_{0}}^{\top}g_{J_{0}}\left(S\right)$$

for any $i, 1 \le i \le p$, where $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^m_+$ is a given vector. Moreover, we consider vectors $\rho = (\rho_1, ..., \rho_p) \in \mathbb{R}^p$, $\rho' = (\rho'_1, ..., \rho'_k) \in \mathbb{R}^k$, and real numbers $\rho_0, \rho'_0 \in \mathbb{R}$.

The following definitions extend similar concepts defined by Jeyakumar and Mond [5], Mishra et al. [6] and Bătătorescu [1],[2],[3].

Definition 1.3. We say that problem (VP) is (ρ, ρ') -V-univex type I at $S^0 \in \mathcal{P}$ according to the partition $\{J_0, J_1, ..., J_k\}$ if there exist positive real functions $\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_p$ and $\beta_1, ..., \beta_m$ defined on $\Gamma^n \times \Gamma^n$,

nonnegative functions b_0 and b_1 , also defined on $\Gamma^n \times \Gamma^n$, $\varphi_0 : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$, $\varphi_1 : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$, and a vector $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^m_+$ such that

$$b_0(S,S^0)\varphi_0[\psi_i(S,\lambda_{J_0})-\psi_i(S^0,\lambda_{J_0})] \ge \alpha_i(S,S^0)\sum_{t=1}^n \langle D_t\psi_i(S^0,\lambda_{J_0}), I_{S_t}-I_{S_t^0}\rangle + \rho_i d^2(S,S^0)$$
(1)

and

$$-b_{1}\left(S,S^{0}\right)\varphi_{1}\left[\sum_{j\in J_{s}}\lambda_{j}g_{j}\left(S^{0}\right)\right]\geq\beta_{s}\left(S,S^{0}\right)\sum_{j\in J_{s}}\lambda_{j}\sum_{t=1}^{n}\left\langle D_{t}g_{j}\left(S^{0}\right),I_{S_{t}}-I_{S_{t}^{0}}\right\rangle+\rho_{s}^{\prime}d^{2}\left(S,S^{0}\right)$$

$$\tag{2}$$

for any $S \in \mathcal{P}$, $i \in \{1, ..., p\}$, and $s \in \{1, ..., k\}$.

If (VP) is (ρ, ρ') -V-univex type I at all $S^0 \in \mathcal{P}$, according to the partition $\{J_0, J_1, ..., J_k\}$, then we say that (VP) is (ρ, ρ') -V-univex type I on \mathcal{P} , according to the partition $\{J_0, J_1, ..., J_k\}$.

If strict inequality holds in (1) (whenever $S \neq S^0$), then we say that (VP) is (ρ, ρ') - semi strictly Vunivex type I at S^0 or on \mathcal{P} according to the partition $\{J_0, J_1, ..., J_k\}$, depending on the case.

Definition 1.4. We say that problem (VP) is (ρ_0, ρ'_0) -quasi V-univex type I at $S^0 \in \mathcal{P}$ according to the partition $\{J_0, J_1, ..., J_k\}$ if there exist positive real functions $\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_p$ and $\beta_1, ..., \beta_m$ defined on $\Gamma^n \times \Gamma^n$, nonnegative functions b_0 and b_1 , also defined on $\Gamma^n \times \Gamma^n$, $\varphi_0 : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$, $\varphi_1 : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$, such that for some vectors $\tau \in \mathbb{R}^p_+$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^m_+$ the implications

$$b_{0}\left(S,S^{0}\right)\varphi_{0}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{p}\tau_{i}\alpha_{i}\left(S,S^{0}\right)\left[\psi_{i}\left(S,\lambda_{J_{0}}\right)-\psi_{i}\left(S^{0},\lambda_{J_{0}}\right)\right]\right] \leq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{p}\tau_{i}\sum_{t=1}^{n}\left\langle D_{t}\psi_{i}\left(S^{0},\lambda_{J_{0}}\right),I_{S_{t}}-I_{S_{t}^{0}}\right\rangle \leq -\rho_{0}d^{2}\left(S,S^{0}\right), \forall S \in \mathcal{P},$$
(3)

and

$$b_{1}\left(S,S^{0}\right)\varphi_{1}\left[\sum_{s=1}^{k}\beta_{s}\left(S,S^{0}\right)\sum_{j\in J_{s}}\lambda_{j}g_{j}\left(S^{0}\right)\right] \leq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{j=1, \ j\notin J_{0}}^{m}\lambda_{j}\sum_{t=1}^{n}\left\langle D_{t}g_{j}\left(S^{0}\right),I_{S_{t}}-I_{S_{t}^{0}}\right\rangle \leq -\rho_{0}^{\prime}d^{2}\left(S,S^{0}\right), \forall S \in \mathcal{P},$$

$$(4)$$

both hold.

If (VP) is (ρ_0, ρ'_0) -quasi V-univex type I at all $S^0 \in \mathcal{P}$ according to the partition $\{J_0, J_1, ..., J_k\}$, then we say that (VP) is (ρ_0, ρ'_0) -quasi V-univex type I on \mathcal{P} according to the partition $\{J_0, J_1, ..., J_k\}$.

If the second (implied) inequality in (3) is strict $(S \neq S^0)$, then we say that (VP) is (ρ_0, ρ'_0) - semi strictly quasi V-univex type I at S^0 or on \mathcal{P} according to the partition $\{J_0, J_1, ..., J_k\}$, depending on the case.

Definition 1.5. We say that problem (VP) is (ρ_0, ρ'_0) -pseudo V-univex type I at $S^0 \in \mathcal{P}$ according to the partition $\{J_0, J_1, ..., J_k\}$, if there exist positive real functions $\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_p$ and $\beta_1, ..., \beta_m$ defined on $\Gamma^n \times \Gamma^n$, nonnegative functions b_0 and b_1 , also defined on $\Gamma^n \times \Gamma^n$, $\varphi_0 : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$, $\varphi_1 : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$, such that for some vectors $\tau \in \mathbb{R}^p_+$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^m_+$ and $\forall S \in \mathcal{P}$, the implications

$$\sum_{i=1}^{p} \tau_{i} \sum_{t=1}^{n} \left\langle D_{t} \psi_{i} \left(S^{0}, \lambda_{J_{0}} \right), I_{S_{t}} - I_{S_{t}^{0}} \right\rangle \leq -\rho_{0} d^{2} \left(S, S^{0} \right) \Rightarrow$$

$$b_{0} \left(S, S^{0} \right) \varphi_{0} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{p} \tau_{i} \alpha_{i} \left(S, S^{0} \right) \left[\psi_{i} \left(S, \lambda_{J_{0}} \right) - \psi_{i} \left(S^{0}, \lambda_{J_{0}} \right) \right] \right] \geq 0,$$
(5)

and

$$\sum_{j=1, j \notin J_0}^m \lambda_j \sum_{t=1}^n \left\langle D_t g_j \left(S^0 \right), I_{S_t} - I_{S_t^0} \right\rangle \ge -\rho_0' d^2 \left(S, S^0 \right) \Longrightarrow$$

$$b_1 \left(S, S^0 \right) \varphi_1 \left[\sum_{s=1}^k \beta_s \left(S, S^0 \right) \sum_{j \in J_s} \lambda_j g_j \left(S^0 \right) \right] \le 0,$$
(6)

both hold.

If (VP) is (ρ_0, ρ'_0) - pseudo V-univex type I at all $S^0 \in \mathcal{P}$ according to the partition $\{J_0, J_1, ..., J_k\}$, then we say that (VP) is (ρ_0, ρ'_0) - pseudo V-univex type I on \mathcal{P} according to the partition $\{J_0, J_1, ..., J_k\}$.

If the second (implied) inequality in (5) is strict $(S \neq S^0)$, then we say that (VP) is (ρ_0, ρ'_0) - semistrictly pseudo V-univex type I in f (in g) at S^0 or on \mathcal{P} according to the partition $\{J_0, J_1, ..., J_k\}$, depending on the case.

If the second (implied) inequalities in (5) and (6) are both strict, then we say that (VP) is (ρ_0, ρ'_0) strictly pseudo V-univex type I at S^0 or on \mathcal{P} according to the partition $\{J_0, J_1, ..., J_k\}$, depending on the case.

Definition 1.6. We say that problem (VP) is (ρ_0, ρ'_0) -quasi pseudo V-univex type I at $S^0 \in \mathcal{P}$ according to the partition $\{J_0, J_1, ..., J_k\}$ if there exist positive real functions $\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_p$ and $\beta_1, ..., \beta_m$ defined on $\Gamma^n \times \Gamma^n$, nonnegative functions b_0 and b_1 , also defined on $\Gamma^n \times \Gamma^n$, $\varphi_0 : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$, $\varphi_1 : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$, such that for some vectors $\tau \in \mathbb{R}^p_+$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^m_+$ the implications

$$b_{0}\left(S,S^{0}\right)\varphi_{0}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{p}\tau_{i}\alpha_{i}\left(S,S^{0}\right)\left[\psi_{i}\left(S,\lambda_{J_{0}}\right)-\psi_{i}\left(S^{0},\lambda_{J_{0}}\right)\right]\right] \leq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{p}\tau_{i}\sum_{t=1}^{n}\left\langle D_{t}\psi_{i}\left(S^{0},\lambda_{J_{0}}\right),I_{S_{t}}-I_{S_{t}^{0}}\right\rangle \leq -\rho_{0}d^{2}\left(S,S^{0}\right), \forall S \in \mathcal{P}$$

$$(7)$$

and

$$\sum_{j=1, j \notin J_0}^{m} \lambda_j \sum_{t=1}^{n} \left\langle D_t g_j \left(S^0 \right), I_{S_t} - I_{S_t^0} \right\rangle \ge -\rho_0' d^2 \left(S, S^0 \right) \Longrightarrow$$

$$b_1 \left(S, S^0 \right) \varphi_1 \left[\sum_{s=1}^{k} \beta_s \left(S, S^0 \right) \sum_{j \in J_s} \lambda_j g_j \left(S^0 \right) \right] \le 0, \forall S \in \mathcal{P},$$
(8)

do hold.

If (VP) is (ρ_0, ρ'_0) -quasi pseudo V-univex type I at all $S^0 \in \mathcal{P}$ according to the partition $\{J_0, J_1, ..., J_k\}$, then we say that (VP) is (ρ_0, ρ'_0) -quasi pseudo V-univex type I on \mathcal{P} according to the partition $\{J_0, J_1, ..., J_k\}$.

If the second (implied) inequality in (2) is strict $(S \neq S^0)$, then we say that (VP) is (ρ_0, ρ'_0) -quasi strictly pseudo V-univex type I at S^0 or on \mathcal{P} , according to the partition $\{J_0, J_1, ..., J_k\}$, depending on the case.

Definition 1.7. We say that problem (VP) is (ρ_0, ρ'_0) -pseudo quasi V-univex type I at $S \in \mathcal{P}$ according to the partition $\{J_0, J_1, ..., J_k\}$ if there exist positive real functions $\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_p$ and $\beta_1, ..., \beta_m$ defined on $\Gamma^n \times \Gamma^n$, nonnegative functions b_0 and b_1 , also defined on $\Gamma^n \times \Gamma^n$, $\varphi_0 : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$, $\varphi_1 : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$, such that for some vectors $\tau \in \mathbb{R}^p_+$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^m_+$ and $\forall S \in \mathcal{P}$, the implications

$$\sum_{i=1}^{p} \tau_{i} \sum_{t=1}^{n} \left\langle D_{t} \psi_{i} \left(S^{0}, \lambda_{J_{0}} \right), I_{S_{t}} - I_{S_{t}^{0}} \right\rangle \geq -\rho_{0} d^{2} \left(S, S^{0} \right) \Rightarrow$$

$$b_{0} \left(S, S^{0} \right) \varphi_{0} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{p} \tau_{i} \alpha_{i} \left(S, S^{0} \right) \left[\psi_{i} \left(S, \lambda_{J_{0}} \right) - \psi_{i} \left(S^{0}, \lambda_{J_{0}} \right) \right] \right] \geq 0$$

$$(9)$$

and

$$b_{1}\left(S,S^{0}\right)\varphi_{1}\left[\sum_{s=1}^{k}\beta_{s}\left(S,S^{0}\right)\sum_{j\in J_{s}}\lambda_{j}g_{j}\left(S^{0}\right)\right]\geq 0 \Longrightarrow$$

$$\sum_{j=1,\ j\notin J_{0}}^{m}\lambda_{j}\sum_{t=1}^{n}\left\langle D_{t}g_{j}\left(S^{0}\right),I_{S_{t}}-I_{S_{t}^{0}}\right\rangle\leq -\rho_{0}^{\prime}d^{2}\left(S,S^{0}\right)$$
(10)

do hold.

If (VP) is (ρ_0, ρ'_0) -pseudo quasi V-univex type I at all $S^0 \in \mathcal{P}$ according to the partition $\{J_0, J_1, ..., J_k\}$, then we say that (VP) is (ρ_0, ρ'_0) -pseudo quasi V-univex type I on \mathcal{P} according to the partition $\{J_0, J_1, ..., J_k\}$.

If the second (implied) inequality in (9) is strict ($S \neq S^0$), then we say that (VP) is (ρ_0, ρ'_0)-strictly pseudo quasi V-univex type I at S^0 or on \mathcal{P} according to the partition $\{J_0, J_1, ..., J_k\}$, depending on the case.

Remark 1.8. If we take in the above definitions $J_0 = \emptyset$, k = m and $J_s = \{s\}$ for $s \in \{1, 2, ..., m\}$, then we retrieve the concepts in Bătătorescu [1].

Remark 1.9. If we take in the above definitions n = 1, $\rho_0 = \rho'_0 = 0$, respectively $\rho_i = 0$ for any i = 1, ..., p, and $\rho'_s = 0$ for any s = 1, ..., k, then we retrieve the concepts in Bătătorescu [3].

2. SOME OPTIMALITY CONDITIONS

The following results give sufficient conditions for a set to be an efficient solution to problem (VP) under generalized type I conditions with respect to a partition of the constraints.

Theorem 2.1 (Sufficiency). Assume that

(a1) $S^0 \in \mathcal{P}$; (a2) there exist $\tau^0 \in \mathbb{R}^p_+$, $\sum_{i=1}^p \tau_i^0 = 1$, and $\lambda^0 \in \mathbb{R}^m_+$ such that - for any $S \in \mathcal{P}$ we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{p} \tau_{i}^{0} \sum_{t=1}^{n} \left\langle D_{t} f_{i} \left(S^{0} \right), I_{S_{t}} - I_{S_{t}^{0}} \right\rangle + \sum_{j=1}^{m} \lambda_{j}^{0} \sum_{t=1}^{n} \left\langle D_{t} g_{j} \left(S^{0} \right), I_{S_{t}} - I_{S_{t}^{0}} \right\rangle \ge 0,$$

- with respect to the partition $\{J_0, J_1, ..., J_k\}$, we have

$$\sum_{j \in J_s} \lambda_j^0 g_j \left(S^0 \right) = 0 \text{ for any } s \in \{0, 1, \dots, k\};$$

(a3) problem (VP) is (ρ_0, ρ'_0) -quasi strictly pseudo V-univex type I at S^0 with $\rho_0 + \rho'_0 \ge 0$ according to the partition $\{J_0, J_1, ..., J_k\}$, with respect to τ^0, λ^0 and for some positive functions α_i , $i \in \{1, ..., p\}$ and β_j , $j \in \{1, ..., m\}$.

Further, suppose that for $r \in \mathbb{R}$ *, we have,*

$$r \leq 0 \Rightarrow \varphi_0(r) \leq 0, \tag{11}$$

$$\varphi_1(r) < 0 \Longrightarrow r < 0 \tag{12}$$

and

$$b_0(S,S^0) > 0, \ b_1(S,S^0) > 0, \ \forall S \in \mathcal{P}.$$
 (13)

Then S^0 is an efficient solution to (VP).

Remark 2.2. For n = 1 and $\rho_0 = \rho'_0 = 0$ we retrieve Theorem 1 of [3].

Remark 2.3. For $J_0 = \emptyset$ k = m and $J_s = \{s\}$, $s \in \{1, 2, ..., m\}$, the above result reduces to Theorem 3.1 in [3]. We easily can state sufficient optimality theorems similar to those in [1].

The next result gives necessary condition for a properly efficient solution to (VP).

Theorem 2.4 (Necessity, Zalmai [9]). Assume that

(b1) S^0 is a properly efficient solution to (VP);

(b2) there exists $S^* \in \mathcal{P}$ with $g_{M_0}(S^*) < 0$, where $M_0 = \{j \mid g_j(S^0) = 0\}$, such that

$$g_{j}(S^{0}) + \sum_{t=1}^{n} \langle D_{t}g_{j}(S^{0}), I_{S_{t}^{*}} - I_{S_{t}^{0}} \rangle < 0, \forall j \in \{1, ..., m\},$$

Then there exist $\tau^0 \in \mathbb{R}^p$, $\tau^0 > 0$, and $\lambda^0 \in \mathbb{R}^m_+$ such that we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{p} \tau_{i}^{0} \sum_{t=1}^{n} \left\langle D_{t} f_{i} \left(S^{0} \right), I_{S_{t}} - I_{S_{t}^{0}} \right\rangle + \sum_{j=1}^{m} \lambda_{j}^{0} \sum_{t=1}^{n} \left\langle D_{t} g_{j} \left(S^{0} \right), I_{S_{t}} - I_{S_{t}^{0}} \right\rangle \ge 0, \, S \in \mathcal{P},$$

and

$$\lambda_{j}^{0}g_{j}(S^{0})=0, j\in\{1,...,m\}.$$

3. GENERALIZED MOND-WEIR DUALITY

With respect to the partition $\{J_0, J_1, ..., J_k\}$ of its constraints, we associate with problem (VP) the following multiobjective dual problem:

(GMWD) maximize
$$(f_1(T) + \lambda_{J_0}^{\top} g_{J_0}(T), ..., f_p(T) + \lambda_{J_0}^{\top} g_{J_0}(T))$$

subject to $(T, \tau, \lambda) \in D$

where

$$D = \begin{cases} \left(T, \tau, \lambda\right) \middle| \sum_{i=1}^{p} \tau_{i} \sum_{t=1}^{n} \left\langle D_{t} \left(f_{i} + \lambda_{J_{0}}^{\top} g_{J_{0}}\right)(T), I_{S_{t}} - I_{T_{t}} \right\rangle + \\ + \sum_{j=1}^{m} \lambda_{j} \sum_{t=1}^{n} \left\langle D_{t} g_{j}(T), I_{S_{t}} - I_{T_{t}} \right\rangle \ge 0, \forall S \in \Gamma^{n} \\ \lambda_{J_{s}}^{\top} g_{J_{s}}(T) \ge 0, s = 1, \dots, k, \\ T \in \Gamma^{n}, \tau \in \mathbb{R}^{p}_{+}, e^{\top} \tau = 1, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^{m}_{+} \end{cases}$$

is the set of feasible solutions, with $e = (1,...,1)^{\top} \in \mathbb{R}^{p}$.

Theorem 3.1. (Weak duality). Assume that

- (i) $S \in P$;
- (i2) $(T,\tau,\lambda) \in \mathbb{D}$ and $\tau > 0$;

(i3) problem (VP) is (ρ_0, ρ'_0) - pseudo quasi V-univex type I at T with $\rho_0 + \rho'_0 \ge 0$ according to the partition $\{J_0, J_1, ..., J_k\}$ and some positive functions α_i , $i \in \{1, ..., p\}$, β_s , $s \in \{1, ..., k\}$.

Further, assume that for $r \in \mathbb{R}$ *we have*

$$\varphi_0(r) \ge 0 \Longrightarrow r \ge 0, \tag{14}$$

$$r \ge 0 \Longrightarrow \varphi_1(r) \ge 0, \tag{15}$$

and

$$b_0(S,T) > 0, b_1(S,T) \ge 0.$$
 (16)

Then $f(S) \not\leq f(T) + \lambda_{J_0}^{\top} g_{J_0}(T) e$.

Theorem 3.2. (Weak duality). Assume that assumptions (i1) and (i2) of Theorem 3.1 hold. We also assume that

(i.3') problem (VP) is (ρ, ρ') - semi strictly V-univex type I at T with $\sum_{i=1}^{p} \frac{\tau_i \rho_i}{\alpha_i(S,T)} + \sum_{s=1}^{k} \frac{\rho'_s}{\beta_s(S,T)} \ge 0$ according to the partition $\{J_0, J_1, ..., J_k\}$, with respect to λ and some positive functions α_i^* , $i \in \{1, ..., p\}$, β_s^* , $s \in \{1, ..., k\}$.

Further, assume that the functions $\boldsymbol{\varphi}_0$ *and* $\boldsymbol{\varphi}_1$ *have the properties*

$$\varphi_0(r) \ge 0 \Longrightarrow r \ge 0 \tag{17}$$

and

$$r \ge 0 \Rightarrow \varphi_1(r) \ge 0, \tag{18}$$

with $\boldsymbol{\varphi}_0$ linear, and

$$b_0(S,T) > 0, b_1(S,T) \ge 0.$$
 (19)

Then $f(S)f(T) + \lambda_{J_0}^{\top}g_{J_0}(T)e$. **Theorem 3.3.** (Strong duality). Assume that (j1) S^0 is a properly efficient solution to (VP);

(j2) there exists $S^* \in \mathbb{P}$ with $g_{M_0}(S^*) < 0$, where $M_0 = \{j \mid g_j(S^0) = 0\}$, such that

$$g_{j}(S^{0}) + \sum_{t=1}^{n} \langle D_{t}g_{j}(S^{0}), I_{S_{t}^{*}} - I_{S_{t}^{0}} \rangle < 0, \forall j \in \{1, ..., m\}.$$

Then there exist $\tau^0 \in \mathbb{R}^p$, $\tau^0 > 0$ and $\lambda^0 \in \mathbb{R}^m_+$ such that $(S^0, \tau^0, \lambda^0) \in D$ and the objective functions of (VP) and (GMWD) have the same values at S^0 and (S^0, τ^0, λ^0) , respectively. If problem (VP) is (ρ_0, ρ'_0) -pseudo quasi V-univex type I with $\rho_0 + \rho'_0 \ge 0$ at all feasible solutions of (GMWD) according to the partition $\{J_0, J_1, ..., J_k\}$, with respect to τ^0 , λ^0 , and conditions (14)-(16) of Theorem 3.1 are satisfied, then $(S^0, \tau^0, \lambda^0) \in D$ is an efficient solution for (GMWD).

Theorem 3.4. (Strong duality). Assume that (j1) and (j2) of Theorem 3.3 are satisfied. Then there exist $\tau^0 \in \mathbb{R}^p$, $\tau^0 > 0$ and $\lambda^0 \in \mathbb{R}^m_+$ such that $(S^0, \tau^0, \lambda^0) \in D$ and the objective functions of (VP) and (GMWD) have the same values at S^0 and (S^0, τ^0, λ^0) , respectively. If problem (VP) is (ρ_0, ρ'_0) - semi strictly V-univex type I with $\rho_0 + \rho'_0 \ge 0$ at all feasible solutions of (GMWD) according to the partition $\{J_0, J_1, ..., J_k\}$, with respect to λ^0 , and conditions (17)-(19) of Theorem 3.2 are satisfied, then $(S^0, \tau^0, \lambda^0) \in D$ is an efficient solution for (GMWD).

Theorem 3.5. (Converse duality). Assume that $(k1)(T^0, \tau^0, \lambda^0) \in D$ with $\tau^0 > 0$;

$$(k2)T^0 \in \mathbb{P};$$

(k3) problem (VP) is (ρ, ρ') -V-univex type I at T^0 , with $\sum_{i=1}^{p} \frac{\tau_i^0 \rho_i}{\alpha_i (s, T^0)} + \sum_{s=1}^{k} \frac{\rho'_s}{\beta_s (s, T^0)} \ge 0$, according to the partition $\{J_0, J_1, ..., J_k\}$, with respect to λ^0 and some positive functions α_i , $i \in \{1, ..., p\}$, and β_s , $s \in \{1, ..., k\}$.

Assume also that the functions ϕ_0 and ϕ_1 have the properties

$$r < 0 \Rightarrow \varphi_0(r) < 0 \quad ; \quad \varphi_0(0) \le 0 \quad ; \quad r_1 \le r_2 \Rightarrow \varphi_0(r_1) \le \varphi_0(r_2), \tag{20}$$

$$r \ge 0 \Longrightarrow \varphi_1(r) \ge 0 \tag{21}$$

and

$$b_0(S,T^0) > 0, b_1(S,T^0) \ge 0, \ \forall S \in \mathbb{P}.$$
(22)

Then T^0 is an efficient solution to (VP).

If, in addition, there exist positive numbers n_i, m_i such that $n_i < \alpha_i(S, T^0) < m_i$ for all $S \in \mathbb{P}$ and i = 1, ..., p, then T^0 is properly efficient for (VP).

Theorem 3.6. (Converse duality). Assume that (k1) and (k2) of Theorem 3.5 are fulfilled and problem (VP) is (ρ_0, ρ'_0) - semi strictly pseudo V-univex type I in g, at T^0 , with $\rho_0 + \rho'_0 \ge 0$, according to the partition $\{J_0, J_1, ..., J_k\}$, with respect to τ^0 , λ^0 and some positive functions α_i , $i \in \{1, ..., p\}$, β_s , $s \in \{1, ..., k\}$.

Assume also that the functions $\boldsymbol{\varphi}_0$ and $\boldsymbol{\varphi}_1$ have the properties

$$\varphi_0(r) \ge 0 \Rightarrow r \ge 0, \tag{23}$$

$$r \ge 0 \Longrightarrow \varphi_1(r) \ge 0 \tag{24}$$

and

$$b_0(S,T^0) > 0, b_1(S,T^0) \ge 0, \forall S \in \mathsf{P}.$$

$$(25)$$

Then T^0 is an efficient solution to (VP).

If, in addition, there exist positive numbers n_i, m_i such that $n_i < \alpha_i(S, T^0) < m_i$ for all $S \in P$ and $i \in \{1, ..., p\}$, then T^0 is properly efficient for (VP).

Theorem 3.7. *Assume that* (k1) *and* (k2) *of Theorem* 3.5. *are fulfilled and*

(k3') problem (VP) is (ρ_0, ρ'_0) -strictly pseudo quasi V-univex type I at T^0 , with $\rho_0 + \rho'_0 \ge 0$, according to the partition $\{J_0, J_1, ..., J_k\}$, with respect to τ^0 , λ^0 and some positive functions α_i , $i \in \{1, ..., p\}$, β_s , $s \in \{1, ..., k\}$.

Assume also that the functions φ_0 and φ_1 have the properties

$$r < 0 \Rightarrow \varphi_0(r) \leq 0 \quad ; \quad r_1 \leq r_2 \Rightarrow \varphi_0(r_1) \leq \varphi_0(r_2), \tag{26}$$

$$r \ge 0 \Longrightarrow \varphi_1(r) \ge 0 \tag{27}$$

and

$$b_0(S,T^0) > 0, b_1(S,T^0) \ge 0, \forall S \in \mathsf{P}.$$
(28)

Then T^0 is an efficient solution for (VP).

If, in addition, there exist positive numbers n_i, m_i such that $n_i < \alpha_i(S, T^0) < m_i$ for all $S \in \mathbb{P}$ and

i = 1, ..., p, then T^0 is properly efficient for (VP).

The proofs will appear in [8].

REFERENCES

- 1. BĂTĂTORESCU A., Optimality conditions involving V-type-I univexity and set-functions. Math. Reports, 7(57) (2005)1, 1-11.
- BĂTĂTORESCU A., Generalized duality involving V-type-I university and set-functions. Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl. 49(2004)5-6, 433-445.
- 3. BĂTĂTORESCU A., Generalized duality for multi-objective programming involving set-functions. An. Univ. București Mat, LIII(2004) (2), 181-200.
- 4. CORLEY H.W., Optimization theory for *n*-set functions. J.Math.Anal.Appl., **127**(1987), 193-205.
- JEYAKUMAR V., MOND B., On generalized convex mathematical programming. J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. B, 34 (1992), 43-53.
- 6. MISHRA S.K., RUEDA N.G., GIORGI G., Multiobjective programming under generalized type I university. An. Univ. București Mat, LII(2003)(2), 207-224.
- 7. MORRIS R.J.T., Optimal constrained selection of a measurable subset. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 70(1979)2, 546-562.
- 8. PREDA V., STANCU-MINASIAN I.M., BELDIMAN MIRUNA, STANCU ANDREEA MĂDĂLINA, Optimality and general Mond-Weir duality for multi-objective programming problems with *n*-set functions involving generalized V-type-I university. (Submitted).
- 9. ZALMAI G.J., Optimality conditions and duality for multiobjective measurable subset selection problems. Optimization, 22(1991)2, 221-238.